FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 2018 | A SPECIAL SECTION

The New York Times

TOPDOG-UNDERDOG Nocroron. THE FILE MR. BURNS, A POST ELECTRIC PLAN

CIABOURNE DARK HOW I LEARNED TO DRIVE. SEVEN GUITARS TMILEHT: LOS ANGELES, 1502

PHE DESIGNATED MOUNTER

THE LARAME PROJECT. UNDERCROLIND RAILROAD GAME

THE ELABORATE STIRRANCE OF CHAO DELTA

By BEN BRANTLEY and JESSE GREEN

not only like a great American play but like a culmination and reimagining of great American fusing the ambition, morality and underdog sympathies of earlier 20th-century masters, of us who look to the theater for inspiration were, in fact, inspired. Tony Kushner's "gay fantasia, curtain came down on Part 1 of the play named for her and her band of anxious immortals, many "The Great Work begins." When we first heard the Angel of America bellow that bulletin as the felt

playness. It slammed a door open.

That was 1993. Exactly 25 years later, the first Broadway revival of "Angels in America" started us thinking about what has happened to American plays in the meantime. Have they been as great? Is their greatness different from what it was? Is "greatness"

even a meaningful category anymore?

Perhaps not on Broadway. Of the plays we've singled out as the best 25 of the last 25 years — dated by their first reviews in The New York Times — only nine have ever appeared on Broadway, and none originated there. No matter their size, most began on, and were developed at regional theater: many never left, the smaller stages of Off and Off Off Broadway, or

told more stories: the kind often ignored during the decades when theater was still a dominant but homogeneous cultural force.

The most obvious and hopeful evidence of that change is the di-If they have reached fewer people as a consequence, they have

diversity is only natural because the most exciting theater is often (not always!) about the most urgent issues in the world it reflects. Works exploring race and gender are prominent, for instance, because racism and sexism remain prominent. versity of playwrights and subjects represented on our list.

trary just because it emerged from a reasoned debate.

What we do know is that the critics Laura Collins-Hughes, Alexis Not that we aimed for that result; in fact, our list was put together using such a complicated and secret method that even we don't understand it. Nor is our grudging ranking of the 25 any less arbi

and attempts at persuasion, sometimes successful.
Our conversations were raucous and filled with disagreement; Soloski and Elisabeth Vincentelli joined us, The Times's chief theater critics, in a series of round-robin ballots, Faustian horse trades

another's horror. (Five of those pets get a

list of their own on Page 14.) Even so, we found much to love, with more than 75 plays making the first cut.

To prune them to 25, we eventually realized that we could only include one play by any given playwright or risk being overrun by a medley of Annie Bakers or Suzan-Lori Parkses. Conversely, we decided that we could not include veteran playwrights just because those lions, though, on Page 15.) hey wrote great plays before 1993. (See our inventory of some of

pletely, and probably won't satisfy anyone else either. Get ready to argue about what plays made the cut and, especially, what didn't. For instance: Though we deliberately excluded musicals, saving The upshot is a consensus list that satisfied none of us com

something eerier and more conceptual. Plays addressing the pro-found changes in technology during the period are also thin on the list. (But we've provided a tasting menu of five important ones on comedy are mostly not represented, each having evolved into failed to show up. The one-set naturalistic drama and the flat-out that furor for another time, other once-dominant genres simply

in America since 1993 plays to want to reread all of them, catch their next revivals or check out those that have been made into films. (The cast members Page 14.) Still, you may feel, as we eventually did, excited enough by the 25 and optimistic we've listed for each play come from various stage and film producions.) Taken together, they constitute a fairly representative snapshot of the best (mostly mainstream) theater

It's a theater that is often more directly engaged in unpacking large-scale social issues than we at first expected. But it's also a collection marked by imaginative boldness that would not surprise that interloping angel who delivered the millennial challenge 25 years ago. Let the Great Work continue!



SARA KRULWICH/THE NEW YORK TIMES

Jennifer R. Morris and Matthew Maher recreating "The Simpsons."



Mr. Burns, a Post-Electric Play

BY ANNE WASHBURN

Rebuilding civilization after the apocalypse with what we have in common, like 'The Simpsons.'

"HERETIC HOMER." "Heart of Bartness." "Lisa the Vegetarian." "Springfield Files."

To the characters in Anne Washburn's mindwhirring play, these aren't just the titles of old episodes of "The Simpsons" - or the titles as they recall them, anyway. They're also currency: popculture properties to trade in the post-apocalyptic remnants of what was the United States.

Survivors sit around a campfire as the play opens, trying to reconstruct a "Simpsons" episode that sent up the movie "Cape Fear." A succession of

nuclear disasters has obliterated the power grid, killing much of the populace. For those who remain, there's not a TV to turn on anywhere.

Doesn't sound like much of a setup for a comedy, does it, though that's partly what "Mr. Burns, a Post-Electric Play" is. A song of civilization's abrupt collapse and rickety reassembly, it's a tale of our current society, too — the precariousness of it, the stories that sustain it, the myths we invent to make sense of our history.

By the second of this play's three acts, seven years into post-electric life, the survivors from Act 1 have formed a traveling theater company, performing recreated "Simpsons" shows. Adding to the scripts bit by bit, relying on their own recollections, they also buy lines from strangers and negotiate for episode rights with competing companies.

There's a weird plausibility to the notion - classic cartoon as cultural cornerstone — and a bizarre. blindsiding grandeur to the play's final act. It may set you thinking about the reverence we give to received texts, no matter how badly corrupted and far from the source they are.

Not everyone loves this play; not everyone's meant to. But for the rest of us, it's the kind of bold, inventive show that sends you staggering out onto the street afterward, stunned and exhilarated, not sure quite what you've just experienced because you've never seen its like before. LAURA COLLINS-HUGHES

REVIEW Sept. 15, 2013

ACTORS

Quincy Tyler Bernstine. Matthew Maher, Jenna Russell

ALSO

Developing the play with the experimental theater company the Civilians, Ms. Washburn and the director Steve Cosson asked a group of actors to remember everything they could about an episode of "The Simpsons."

Clybourne Park

BY BRUCE NORRIS

A scathingly funny dissection of race, gentrification and liberal pieties that spins off from 'A Raisin in the Sun.'

REVIEW Feb. 21, 2010

AWARDS 2011 Pulitzer Prize, 2012 Tony for best play

ACTORS Jeremy Shamos, Annie Parisse. Amy Morton. Martin Freeman

ALSO The Guthrie Theater presented the play in repertory with "A Raisin in the Sun," while Baltimore Center Stage paired it with a different update of Lorraine Hansberry's play.

TWO ACTS, ONE NEIGHBORHOOD, separated by 50 years: Bruce Norris's "Clybourne Park" aims to leave everyone, including the audience, uncomfort-

Set in 1959, the first act introduces a young couple thinking of selling their Chicago-area home to (unseen) African-Americans who, we realize, are the Youngers of Lorraine Hansberry's "A Raisin in the Sun." But this is a white community, and some neighbors are afraid the newcomers will change it

The second act opens 50 years later in the same house, now in disrepair and recently purchased by a white couple. The neighborhood has fallen onto hard times and is ripe for gentrification. Because they are planning extensive renovations, the buyers are meeting with representatives of the local black community.

Mr. Norris's specialty is making an audience question its sympathies. And no character in 'Clybourne Park" better embodies the modern mix of hostility, defensiveness and self-righteousness than Steve, half of the white couple. As the second act goes on, Steve pulls even the most innocent characters into an epic showdown of offensive

In a recent interview, Jeremy Shamos, who portrayed Steve in the Off Broadway premiere at Playwrights Horizons and in the subsequent Los Angeles and Broadway productions, recalled what it was like to play Mr. Norris's obnoxious, entitled provo-

What did you think when you realized you would have to tell these jokes every night?

I knew it was going to get a reaction, and of course



From left, Damon Gupton, Crystal A. Dickinson, Annie Parisse and Jeremy Shamos, who plays the obnoxious Steve.

as an actor you think, "This is going to be fun to do!" Steve is saying the things he thinks people aren't saying anymore - he's breaking the social norm. But I also knew it was so well-written, it wouldn't be confused with [a playwright] trying to slip in rac-

Is the idea to make people consider what makes them laugh?

It gets people laughing hard, and then it socks you when you're open. It also makes you question what you laugh at, and where the line falls. Bruce finds

that line and plays with it: "Why are you offended by this when you were laughing at that other joke?

Does "Clybourne Park" hit a particular nerve because the majority of theatergoers are white?

The play holds a mirror to people, especially the sort of white, potentially entitled audience who come to see theater. And not in that way that's traditionally done, where you point out, "You should feel guilty because this is going on." It's more: "This is

ELISABETH VINCENTELLI